Vol. 02, Iss. 2 (2025) 90-99, DOI: 10.61552/JSI.2025.02.005

Journal of Sustainable Development Innovations

www.jsi.aspur.rs

Advanced Optimization of CCHP Microgrids: Strategies for Enhanced Efficiency, Reliability, and Sustainability

Amr Abbass[a,*]

[a]*University of Idaho, Moscow, United States*

Keywords:

CHP, Heat, Energy.

* Corresponding author:

Amr Abbass
E-mail: calgary732@outlook.com

Received: 29 October 2024
Revised: 23 November 2024
Accepted: 18 December 2024

A B S T R A C T

The worldwide shift to net-zero greenhouse gas emissions requires novel energy technologies that harmonize environmental sustainability with economic viability. Combined Cooling, Heating, and Power (CCHP) microgrids represent a significant improvement, utilizing cogeneration to enhance fuel efficiency and minimize energy waste. This study examines the use of Renewable Natural Gas, solar photovoltaics (PV), and sophisticated cooling technologies, including absorption chillers, into CCHP microgrids to improve their efficiency. The research illustrates, using comprehensive modeling techniques and practical case studies, the capability of these systems to realize energy cost savings above 35%, CO2e emission reductions of up to 50%, and enhanced energy security through robust designs.Practical applications of mathematical formulations demonstrate their function in maximizing storage capacity, managing curtailment, enhancing system reliability, and reducing economic losses. Scenarios encompass renewable penetration rates above 150%, LCOE reductions to $0.05/kWh in hybrid systems, and the requirement for sufficient storage to control peak demand. The results highlight the scalability and adaptability of CCHP microgrids in various geographic and operational settings, facilitating a resilient and carbon-neutral energy future.

1. INTRODUCTION

Climate change is driving a global shift towards achieving net zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions or carbon neutrality within the next 20-40 years. This transformation is about reducing emissions and ensuring energy security and sustainability for future generations. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) from 2014 reveals that global GHG emissions are primarily driven by specific gases and sectors, with CO2 and CH4 accounting for 92% of total emissions [1].

The CCHP microgrid is a Combined Cooling, Heating, and Power (CCHP) system that optimizes fuel utilization to produce multiple types of energy. The Prime Mover, consisting of a reciprocating engine, fuel cell, or turbine, generates electricity by combustion of natural gas, biogas, or hydrogen. The heat is harnessed by using water as a medium, which can be used directly for heating purposes or indirectly for cooling purposes using an absorption chiller. CCHP microgrids offer numerous benefits, including a secure and reliable energy supply, eliminating energy losses associated with separate production, and minimizing dependence on external energy supplies. They also ensure consistent energy, heating, and cooling provision, obviating the need for less efficient and environmentally harmful auxiliary generators. This reduces emissions by optimizing fuel utilization and minimizing waste, resulting in lower emissions than conventional energy production methods [2, 3].

Renewable energy sources like solar, wind, and hydroelectric power are often considered the predominant alternatives to achieving carbon neutrality. However, these technologies face constraints regarding their accessibility and implementation. Regions like the Northeast of North America have significant winter heating needs but lack much potential for solar energy. CCHP systems play a crucial role in addressing this energy deficit, providing a reliable foundation for consistent energy provision even during grid failure [4].

To transition towards a carbon-neutral future, it is essential to transition to renewable gases such as Renewable Natural Gas (), biogas, or hydrogen. is generated from organic waste products, such as livestock manure, landfill garbage, and wastewater, and is classified as carbon-neutral and, in some cases, carbon-negative. Biogas is generated through the anaerobic digestion of organic waste and can be used as a sustainable energy source. Hydrogen manufacturing technology is becoming more advanced, making it a feasible substitute for natural gas. In conclusion, the CCHP Microgrid is the epitome of energy efficiency and sustainability, as it integrates many energy sources into a unified and efficient system. Grid-tied microgrids combine CCHP, Solar PV arrays, and Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) to create a highly efficient, low-emission energy solution. In an efficiently planned microgrid, the CCHP unit can operate continuously, even during summer when there is a significant demand for cooling. Thermal Energy Storage (TES) systems can store surplus heat or chilled water for future utilization, ensuring efficient energy utilization throughout the year [5].

2. MODEL AND METHODS

To model a Combined Cooling, Heating, and Power (CCHP) system, initiate by delineating the project's fundamental features, including the building's type and size, geographic location, and projected energy requirements for cooling, heating, and electricity. Integrate meteorological data to ascertain temperature and humidity profiles that affect cooling and heating demands. Determine the operational attributes of the CCHP components, encompassing the primary mover (such as a gas turbine or reciprocating engine), heat recovery systems, and absorption chillers. Utilize energy demand profiles to align the system's capacity with the necessary loads, hence maintaining equilibrium and efficiency. This method facilitates the development of an all-encompassing model that considers environmental factors, energy requirements of the building, and equipment efficiency, directing the enhancement of the CCHP system's design and functionality [4].

2.1 Energy Profiles

Energy profiles comprehensively represent a building's energy consumption, capturing the demand patterns for electricity, gas, cooling, heating, and domestic hot water over specific periods. These profiles are foundational for evaluating energy requirements and optimizing system designs, particularly in projects involving Combined Heat and Power Microgrid (CHP-MG) systems. They provide insights into energy usage trends, enabling the identification of peak demand periods, seasonal variations, and overall consumption patterns. Accurate energy profiles help tailor energy system designs to meet specific needs, improve efficiency, and assess potential solutions' economic and environmental benefits. Whether derived from historical data, estimated trends, or simplified models, energy profiles are essential for informed decision-making in energy management and system planning [6-9].

2.2 Load Profiles and Their Importance

Load profiles are crucial to energy modeling. They represent a building's energy demand over time and estimate the load served by various energy systems, such as cooling and heating. The load profiles must match the project's time interval, ensuring that the energy consumption is accurately modeled.

2.3 Microgrid Type

The configuration of microgrid systems plays a critical role in determining their operational dynamics, particularly in the context of Combined Heat and Power Microgrids (CHP-MG). Two primary configurations are islanded (off-grid) and grid-connected systems with interconnection limits. Islanded microgrids operate independently of the utility grid, making them suitable for remote locations or areas with unreliable grid access. In this mode, the system does not consider grid outages or emissions, focusing solely on meeting the internal energy demands. On the other hand, grid-connected microgrids interact with the utility grid and are subject to interconnection limits, which define the maximum power exchange between the microgrid and the grid. This configuration ensures compliance with regulatory requirements and optimizes energy flows between the grid and the microgrid. Interconnection limits and grid interaction parameters are pivotal for modeling and assessing grid-connected systems, influencing energy costs, emissions, and overall system performance. Additionally, factors such as grid outages and emissions must be evaluated to understand their impact on operational efficiency and environmental implications, making these considerations essential in planning and optimizing CHP-MG systems [10-12].

2.4 Cooling Systems & Chillers

Cooling systems significantly impact building energy usage, particularly in commercial and industrial settings. An organized modeling methodology is crucial for enhancing energy efficiency and evaluating performance. The cooling system model typically comprises components like chillers (electric, gas-fired, and absorption) and cooling towers, which are assessed according to load profiles, equipment efficiency, and climatic conditions. Standard chillers include air-cooled, water-cooled, and absorption chillers, each with distinct characteristics influencing their energy performance. The performance of chillers is modeled using empirical correlations derived from industry-standard performance curves. These curves map the relationship between cooling capacity and key operational parameters such as chilled water supply temperature, condenser water temperature, and ambient air conditions. In the United States, modeling standards and default values for these performance parameters are provided by authoritative resources like the ASHRAE Handbook of HVAC Systems and Equipment, the AHRI Standard, and guidelines from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Capacity adjustment curves depict how a chiller's cooling capacity changes in response to evaporator and condenser condition variations, ensuring models reflect real-world performance fluctuations. Efficiency curves represent the energy input required for a given cooling output, allowing for detailed energy consumption analysis. Temperature efficiency curves capture the impact of evaporator and condenser temperatures on chiller performance, offering insights into how environmental factors affect energy consumption. Part-load efficiency curves address the operational realities of chillers that often function below peak output. Chiller performance modeling in the U.S. is standardized by resources like the ASHRAE Handbook of HVAC Systems and Equipment and the AHRI Standard 550/590, providing comprehensive methodologies and equations for constructing performance curves. By adhering to these standards, engineers can design systems that align with regulatory requirements and achieve optimal performance [13-16].

2.5 Energy Cost Analysis in Cogeneration Systems

Energy cost analysis is a crucial tool for assessing the financial performance of energy systems, including conventional and cogeneration systems. It involves modeling electricity costs based on energy consumption profiles and predefined rates, which can include user-specified or default values. This allows for a detailed breakdown of electricity costs, reflecting the complexity of real-world billing scenarios. Gas and oil costs are calculated based on fuel consumption profiles and corresponding rates, providing insights into costs associated with heating and other systems reliant on these fuels. Grid interaction significantly affects overall energy costs for grid-connected systems, including exporting excess power to the grid, importing power during peak demand periods, and adhering to interconnection limits. The cost analysis integrates factors such as grid outages, backup power usage, and emissions penalties, enabling an assessment of cost savings or additional expenses resulting from grid interactions. The cogeneration system aims to maximize the utilization of excess thermal energy generated by the Combined Heat and Power Microgrid (CHP-MG). Innovative approaches reduce reliance on conventional energy sources and enhance cost efficiency by converting electric chillers into indirect absorption chillers and incorporating domestic hot water heating into the CHP-MG system. Modeling standards and methodologies guide the modeling of multi-unit air-cooled and water-cooled chillers and cooling towers. These resources provide the foundation for performance metrics, default values, and modeling methods, ensuring accuracy and industry alignment. Cooling load distribution methods are integral to cost analysis, aiming to maximize the utilization of CHP thermal production without introducing unnecessary thermal loads. Techniques based on REopt, an optimization tool developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), allow for precise allocation of cooling and heating loads [3, 5, 17-19].

2.6 Microgrid Sizing and Energy Sizing Methods

Microgrid sizing is a critical aspect of designing an efficient and reliable energy system, as it determines the optimal capacities of components such as Combined Heat and Power (CHP) units, solar photovoltaic (PV) systems, and energy storage systems. Effective sizing ensures that the microgrid can meet the energy demands of the building while maximizing efficiency and minimizing costs. Two primary methods for determining the capacity of microgrid components are the Standard Energy Sizing Method and the Optimization-Based Sizing Method. Load duration curves are essential analytical tools in microgrid design, representing the distribution of building energy demand over time and evaluating the performance of generating assets and energy storage systems. By analyzing load duration curves, the generation capacity of microgrid components can be aligned with energy demand profiles, optimizing resource utilization. Peak load analysis highlights periods of peak demand, providing insights into the system's ability to handle high-load scenarios. Proper sizing of microgrid components based on these peaks enhances reliability and performance. Energy storage systems are vital for microgrid functionality, offering flexibility and resilience by balancing supply and demand. Thermal Energy Storage (TES) tanks store surplus thermal energy generated by CHP units or other heat sources, reducing reliance on grid or fuel sources. Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) store excess electrical energy generated by microgrid components, providing energy during outages or high-demand periods and ensuring system independence. These methods and tools collectively ensure that microgrids are designed to balance energy supply and demand efficiently, maximizing performance while reducing environmental and financial costs. Implementing Hydrogen Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Microgrids represents a transformative advancement in energy systems, particularly for sectors requiring substantial and consistent energy supply. CHP systems capitalize on the inherent efficiency of cogeneration by simultaneously producing electricity and thermal energy, reducing reliance on separate systems and achieving significant operational efficiencies. These systems respond robustly to energy cost management and environmental sustainability challenges, especially when integrated with additional technologies like solar photovoltaics (PV) and absorption chillers. From a financial perspective, CHP microgrids provide substantial economic advantages, with internal rates of return often exceeding 20% and discounted payback periods as short as three to four years. Energy cost savings can surpass 35%, amounting to hundreds of thousands of dollars annually, making CHP microgrids a cost-effective solution for energy-intensive operations. Policy frameworks, such as the Inflation Reduction Act, further bolster these systems' economic case by offering a 30% tax credit for eligible projects. However, the effectiveness of CHP microgrids is influenced by location-specific variables, including grid emissions factors, energy costs, and demand profiles. For example, regions with high electricity costs or significant grid emissions may derive more excellent financial and environmental benefits from these systems. The contextual adaptability of CHP microgrids underscores their versatility and scalability across diverse operational and geographic settings. In summary, CHP microgrids represent a paradigm shift in energy management, combining technological innovation, environmental responsibility, and economic practicality. By addressing critical challenges such as energy cost volatility, emissions reduction, and renewable energy integration, CHP microgrids pave the way for a more sustainable and resilient energy future [20].

2.7 Practical Application of Mathematical Formulations in Microgrid Design: Case Studies on Optimization for Efficiency, Reliability, and Sustainability [21]

This section delves into the practical application of the above equations, demonstrating their relevance and utility in real-world microgrid design scenarios. Below are detailed case studies highlighting the challenges, trade-offs, and decisions involved in optimizing a microgrid for efficiency, reliability, and sustainability.

Case 1: The capacity factor in a microgrid primarily powered by wind energy. The community microgrid intends to implement a 1 MW wind farm to fulfill a portion of its yearly energy requirements. The wind farm is projected to produce 2,628,000 kWh per year. By utilizing Equation 1, the capacity factor can be computed:

$$\text{Capacity Factor } - \frac{2{,}628{,}000\text{kWh}}{1\text{MW} \times \frac{8{,}760 \text{ hours}}{\text{year}}} \times 100 = 30\%$$

- Analysis: The capacity factor of 30% is a typical value for wind energy systems. It indicates the impact of fluctuating wind speeds and the turbines' inability to run at their maximum capacity consistently. A higher capacity factor signifies a more effective utilization of the installed capacity; however, it is often challenging to achieve this due to inherent fluctuations in wind supply.

Case 2: Renewable Energy Penetration in a Hybrid Solar-Wind Microgrid

- Scenario: A microgrid in a separate neighborhood integrates solar electricity with a capacity of 500 kW and wind power with a capacity of 1 MW to fulfill its energy requirements. The annual energy consumption amounts to 2,190,000 kilowatt-hours (kWh). The solar panels produce a yearly output of 657,000 kilowatt-hours, while the wind turbines generate an annual production of 2,628,000 kilowatt-hours. The renewable energy penetration rate is determined by applying Equation 2.

$$\text{Renewable Energy Penetration} = \frac{657{,}000\text{kWh}(\text{ solar })+2{,}628{,}000\text{kWh (wind)}}{2{,}190{,}000\text{kWh}} \times 100$$

- Analysis: A penetration rate of 150% signifies that the microgrid produces an annual surplus of renewable energy compared to its consumption. The significant penetration implies that, in typical conditions, the microgrid may function autonomously without depending on non-renewable energy sources.

Case 3: Sizing Energy Storage in Response to Peak Demand

- Context: A microgrid created explicitly for a distant community encounters a maximum power requirement of 500 kilowatts, usually lasting for a duration of 3 hours daily. An evaluation is required to ascertain whether the 200-kWh battery system chosen by the community is enough for their needs. As per Equation 3, the necessary storage capacity is:

$$E_{\text{storage}} = \frac{500 \text{ kW} \times 3 \text{ hours}}{0.85} = 1{,}765\text{kWh}$$

- Analysis: The current battery system is insufficient in capacity to meet the high demand periods. In the absence of an upgrade, the microgrid would be dependent on alternate power sources, such as diesel generators, during these periods. This highlights the advantages of incorporating renewable energy.

Case 4: Curtailment Management in High Penetration Systems

- Scenario: In a microgrid with a significant amount of renewable energy, there is an annual wind generation of 2,628,000 kilowatt-hours (kWh). However, 300,000 kWh is not used due to storage limits. Equation 4 shows that the curtailment ratio is:

$$\text{Curtailment Ratio } -\frac{300{,}000\text{kWh}}{2{,}628{,}000\text{kWh}}\times 100 = 11.4\%$$

- Analysis: The curtailment ratio of 11.4% indicates that a substantial amount of the wind energy produced is not used because of limitations in storage capacity.
- Case 5: Spinning Reserve Requirements on a Microgrid with Multiple Energy Sources
- Situation: A microgrid combines renewable sources, such as wind and solar, and traditional sources, including diesel generators. To provide reliability in abrupt dips in renewable generation, the microgrid must maintain a spinning reserve of 100 KW. Utilizing Equation 5:

$$P_{\text{reserve}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(P_{\text{gen}_i}\times \text{ reliability factor }\right) - P_{\text{critical load}}$$

$$P_{\text{reserve}} - (500\text{ kW}\times 0.9) + (200\text{ kW}\times 0.95) - 100\text{ kW} = 685\text{ kW}$$

- Analysis: This computation guarantees that the microgrid retains enough spinning reserve to manage unforeseen decreases in power supply or sudden increases in power consumption.

Case 6: Carbon Pricing Impact on LCOE (Levelized Cost of Energy)

- Scenario: A microgrid produces 2,000 tons of CO2 annually, with a carbon price of $50 per ton. The LCOE for the microgrid is currently. $0.10/kWh. Using Equation 6, the adjusted LCOE is:

$$C_{\text{total}} = 0.10 + \frac{2{,}000\text{ tons } \times \$50/\text{ ton}}{3{,}000{,}000\text{kWh}} = 0.138/\text{kWh}$$

- Discussion: The levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) rises from $0.10/kWh to $0.138/kWh, including extra expenses related to CO2 emissions. This highlights the economic consequences of implementing carbon pricing. This scenario analyzes the impact of carbon pricing on a microgrid's Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE). Initially, the LCOE is $0.10/kWh, but additional costs are incurred with the microgrid emitting 2,000 tons of CO2 annually and a carbon price of $50 per ton. The total cost from carbon pricing amounts to $100,000, which, when distributed over the 3,000,000-kWh annual energy output, adds approximately 0.0333 cents per kWh to the LCOE. As a result, the adjusted LCOE rises to $0.138/kWh. This increase highlights the economic consequences of incorporating carbon pricing, demonstrating how it raises the cost of electricity production and encourages energy producers to reduce emissions to mitigate financial penalties.

Case 7: Reliability Analysis using the System Reliability Index (SRI)

- Context: A microgrid encounters ten instances where the load is not supplied out of 8,760 hours in a year, impacting an average of 1 MW. Equation 7 computes the System Reliability Index (SRI) using the following formula:

$$\text{SRI} = \frac{(1\text{MW}\times 10\text{ hours })}{(1\text{MW}\times 8760\text{ hours })}\times 100 = 0.114\%$$

The System Reliability Index (SRI) is computed to evaluate the dependability of the power supply in a microgrid. Within 8,760 hours in a year, there are 10 occurrences where a 1 MW load is not provided. The SRI is calculated by dividing the hours of load interruption (1 MW × 10 hours) by the total possible hours the load might be supplied (1 MW × 8,760 hours), using the given formula. The outcome is subsequently multiplied by 100 to represent the SRI as a percentage, resulting in a value of 0.114%. The microgrid sees interruptions in only 0.114% of the total hours a year, demonstrating high system reliability. Power is supplied without failure for 99.886% of the time.

Case 8: Maximizing Load Factor for Economic Efficiency

- Scenario: The load of a microgrid fluctuates considerably throughout the day, reaching a maximum demand of 500 kW and an average demand of 300 kW. By applying Equation 8, the load factor can be determined.

$$\text{Load Factor } = \frac{300\text{ kW}}{500\text{ kW}}\times 100 = 60\%$$

- Analysis: A load factor of 60% suggests that the microgrid experiences significant fluctuations in demand, resulting in inefficiencies and increased expenses.

Case 9: Economic Analysis of Energy Curtailment in Solar-Dominant Microgrid

- Scenario: A microgrid with a high penetration of solar energy experiences 100,000kWh of curtailment annually due to storage limitations. Applying Equation 9, the economic loss due to curtailment is:

$$\text{Economic Loss} = 100{,}000\text{kWh} \times \frac{0.12\$}{\text{kWh}} = 12{,}000\$/\text{ year}$$

- Analysis: The yearly financial detriment caused by restriction totals $12,000, emphasizing the necessity of adequate storage options. In this situation, the microgrid has a significant incorporation of solar energy but encounters curtailment, which refers to the inability to store or utilize a portion of the generated solar energy due to storage constraints. More precisely, the microgrid encounters an annual curtailment of 100,000 kWh of energy. The economic loss resulting from this reduction is determined by multiplying the amount of reduced energy (100,000 kWh) by the value or cost of electricity ($0.12 per kWh). This leads to a yearly economic deficit of $12,000. This calculation demonstrates the cost consequences of not utilizing the solar energy generated entirely. It underscores the significance of having adequate storage capacity or better grid management to reduce energy wastage and optimize economic efficiency.

Case 10: Renewable Energy Penetration and Grid Stability

- Scenario: A microgrid with 1 MW of solar and 2 MW of wind generation experiences fluctuations due to variable weather conditions, requiring frequent intervention to maintain stability. Using Equation 10, the instantaneous penetration rate is:

$$\text{Instantaneous Penetration } = \frac{1\text{MW(solar)} + 2\text{MW(wind)}}{3\text{MW}} \times 100 = 100\%$$

- Analysis: A penetration rate of 100% can provide substantial issues for grid stability, especially when there are fast fluctuations in renewable energy output.

- Case 11: Optimization of dimensions for a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)
- The microgrid plans to integrate a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) in order to manage periods of high energy demand and efficiently store excess renewable energy. The system must have sufficient energy storage capacity to match the demand during the 4-hour peak period, given that the day maximum power consumption is 600 kilowatts. Per Equation 11, the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) requires a specific capacity.

$$\text{BESS Capacity} = 600 \text{ kW} \times 4 \text{ hours} = 2400 \text{ kWh}$$

  - Analysis: To successfully manage the period of highest energy demand, the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) requires a capacity of 2400 kWh.

Case 12: Transmission Line Energy Loss

- Scenario: A microgrid consists of a transmission line that spans a considerable distance between the site where electricity is generated and the central location where it is consumed. The line exhibits a resistance of 0.5 ohms, with a current magnitude of 100 A passing through it. Determine the amount of energy that is lost throughout a span of 24 hours. Equation 12 demonstrates the calculation of power loss.

$$\text{Power Loss (W)} - I^2 \times R - 100^2 \times 0.5 = 5000 \text{ W}$$

Over a 24 -hour period, the energy loss is:

$$\text{Energy Loss (kWh)} - 5000 \text{ W} \times \frac{24 \text{ hours}}{1000} = 120\text{kWh}$$

- Discussion: The transmission line losses total 120 kWh per day, emphasizing the significance of reducing transmission lengths or enhancing line efficiency.

Case 13: Diesel Generator Fuel Consumption Situation: A diesel generator in a microgrid runs for 8 hours per day and has a power demand of 200 kW. The generator consumes 0.24 liters per kilowatt-hour (kWh) of fuel. According to Equation 13, the diesel generator consumes:

$$\text{Fuel Consumption} = 200 \text{ kW} \times 8 \text{ hours} \times 0.24 \text{ liters } /\text{kWh} = 384 \text{ liters } / \text{ day}$$

- Analysis: The diesel generator utilizes 384 liters of fuel daily to satisfy the microgrid's energy requirements.

Case 14: Calculating the Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE) for Hybrid Systems

- Situation: The capital cost of a microgrid that combines wind and solar energy sources amounts to $2,000,000. This microgrid's yearly operation and maintenance expenditures are $50,000, and it has an annual energy generation capacity of 3,000,000 kilowatt-hours. The system is projected to function for 20 years. Equation 14 provides the formula for calculating the Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE).

$$\text{LCOE} - \frac{2{,}000{,}000 + (50{,}000 \times 20)}{3{,}000{,}000 \times 20} = 0.05\$/\text{kWh}$$

- Analysis: The levelized Electricity (LCOE) cost for the hybrid wind and solar microgrid is $0.05 per kilowatt-hour (kWh), indicating a cost-effective energy generation system.

Case 15: Impact of Solar Irradiance on PV Output

- Scenario: The microgrid is equipped with a 100-kW solar photovoltaic (PV) system that receives an average solar irradiation of 5 kilowatt-hours per square meter per day. Utilizing Equation 15, the solar photovoltaic (PV) system produces:

$$\text{Energy Output} = 100\ \text{kW} \times \frac{\frac{5\text{kWh}}{\text{m}^2}}{\text{day}} \times 0.8 = 400\text{kWh/ day}$$

- Analysis: The solar photovoltaic (PV) system produces a daily output of 400 kilowatt-hours (kWh) by utilizing the sun irradiation present in the local area.

Case 16: The Influence of Inverter Efficiency on the Output of Renewable Energy

- Given: The inverter of a microgrid has an efficiency rating of 95%. Determine the corresponding AC output with a DC input of 500 kW from solar panels. Based on Equation 16, the AC output can be calculated as follows:

$$\text{AC Output} = 500\ \text{kW} \times 0.95 = 475\ \text{kW}$$

- Analysis: The inverter's efficiency generates an AC output of 475 kW from a 500 kW DC input, highlighting the significance of choosing high-inefficiency inverters [22, 23].

3. CONCLUSION

Incorporating Combined Cooling, Heating, and Power (CCHP) microgrids into energy infrastructure signifies a revolutionary strategy for tackling global energy and environmental issues. These systems optimize energy efficiency by employing cogeneration principles, transforming a singular energy input into many outputs, including power, heating, and cooling. The environmental impact of these systems is significant, with possible CO2e reductions reaching 50%, or thousands of tons of emissions conserved per year. Hydrogen substantially improves these systems' sustainability by markedly decreasing lifecycle emissions relative to conventional natural gas.

The economic benefits of CCHP microgrids are as persuasive. In some instances, energy cost reductions of 35% have been realized, resulting in yearly savings of hundreds of thousands of dollars for energy-intensive businesses. Policy incentives, including the 30% tax credit from the Inflation Reduction Act, enhance the financial viability of these systems, decreasing payback periods to as brief as three to four years. The Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) for hybrid wind and solar systems within microgrids can decrease to $0.05/kWh, highlighting its economic efficiency relative to traditional energy systems.

The case studies illustrate real obstacles and resolutions in enhancing microgrid efficiency. A renewable penetration rate surpassing 150% indicates the ability of microgrids to produce excess electricity while ensuring grid stability through spinning reserves of 685 kW. A microgrid with insufficient storage encountered a curtailment ratio of 11.4%, leading to an annual economic loss of $12,000, highlighting the necessity for optimizing storage capacity. Additionally, a solar-centric microgrid produced a daily energy output of 400 kWh from a 100 kW photovoltaic system, with an inverter efficiency of 95%, underscoring the importance of high-efficiency components.

Reliability indicators, such the System Reliability Index (SRI), emphasize the efficacy of CCHP microgrids. These systems exhibit remarkable reliability, with merely 0.114% of annual hours encountering interruptions, hence guaranteeing power supply 99.886% of the time. This reliability is essential for both household and industrial applications, where energy continuity is critical.

The contextual versatility of CCHP microgrids renders them appropriate for various operational contexts. These systems can be customized to address particular energy demand profiles, grid emission intensities, and economic limitations, regardless of their deployment in metropolitan industrial areas or rural off-grid locales. Comprehensive modeling techniques, integrating load profiles, thermal storage, and energy cost evaluations, establish the basis for creating optimal systems that conform to regional specifications.

In summary, the implementation of -powered CCHP microgrids offers a complex answer to worldwide energy issues, harmonizing sustainability, energy autonomy, and economic feasibility. Through the integration of advanced technology, the backing of regulatory frameworks, and the utilization of intricate mathematical models, these systems provide a scalable and dependable approach to attaining a carbon-neutral future. This research offers a framework for stakeholders seeking to transform energy practices, establishing a standard for innovation and resilience in contemporary energy systems.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Sun, J. Lu, Z. Li, D. L. Lubkeman, and N. Lu, "Modeling combined heat and power systems for microgrid applications," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 9, no. 5, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TSG.2017.2652723.

[2] H. Cho, R. Luck, S. D. Eksioglu, and L. M. Chamra, "Cost-optimized real-time operation of CHP systems," *Energy Build.*, vol. 41, no. 4, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2008.11.011.

[3] H. U. R. Habib, S. Wang, A. Waqar, B. S. Farhan, K. M. Kotb, and Y. S. Kim, "Combined heat and power units sizing and energy cost optimization of a residential building by using an artificial bee colony algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3042173.

[4] JIS Energy, "Energy Modeling & Design Tool."

[5] D. Yu, Y. Meng, G. Yan, G. Mu, D. Li, and S. Le Blond, "Sizing combined heat and power units and domestic building energy cost optimisation," *Energies (Basel)*, vol. 10, no. 6, 2017, doi: 10.3390/en10060771.

[6] ASHRAE, *Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings*, 2016.

[7] California Energy Commission, *Single-Family Residential Alternative Calculation Method Reference Manual*.

[8] California Energy Commission, *2022 Nonresidential and Multifamily Alternative Calculation Method Reference Manual*.

[9] California Agency Information, *California Code of Regulations, Title 24*, 2019.

[10] [U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), "The new energy code for commercial buildings: Standard 90.1-2016."

[11] K. Gao, T. Wang, C. Han, J. Xie, Y. Ma, and R. Peng, "A review of optimization of microgrid operation," *Energies (Basel)*, vol. 14, no. 10, 2021, doi: 10.3390/en14102842.

[12] B. Lei *et al.*, "A review of optimization for system reliability of microgrid," 2023, doi: 10.3390/math11040822.

[13] C. Somers, A. Mortazavi, Y. Hwang, R. Radermacher, and P. Rodgers, "Modeling absorption chillers in ASPEN," *The Second International Energy 2030 Conference*, 2008.

[14] A. Gibelhaus, P. Postweiler, and A. Bardow, "Efficient modeling of adsorption chillers: Avoiding discretization by operator splitting," *Int. J. Refrig.*, vol. 139, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2022.04.015.

[15] T. Huang, C. Liang, X. Bai, Z. Feng, and F. Wang, "Study on the feature-recognition-based modeling approach of chillers," *Int. J. Refrig.*, vol. 100, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2019.02.010.

[16] V. Schwamberger, A. Desai, and F. P. Schmidt, "Novel adsorption cycle for high-efficiency adsorption heat pumps and chillers: Modeling and simulation results," *Energies (Basel)*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.3390/en13010019.

[17] K. Hansen, "Decision-making based on energy costs: Comparing levelized cost of energy and energy system costs," *Energy Strategy Rev.*, vol. 24, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.esr.2019.02.003.

[18] P. Slavica, M. Ivica, R. Dragica, J. Milios, J. Slobodan, and N. Vladislav, "Testing the energy efficiency of CHP engines and cost-effectiveness of biogas plant operation," *IET Renew. Power Gener.*, vol. 17, no. 3, 2023, doi: 10.1049/rpg2.12614.

[19] P. Benalcazar, "Optimal sizing of thermal energy storage systems for CHP plants considering specific investment costs: A case study," *Energy*, vol. 234, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2021.121323.

[20] H. Elsaraf, M. Jamil, and B. Pandey, "Techno-economic design of a combined heat and power microgrid for a remote community in Newfoundland Canada," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3091738.

[21] A. Abbass, "Optimization of hybrid renewable energy microgrids: A case study on cost-efficiency and system performance using Python-generated custom code for diesel-wind-solar configurations," 2024.

[22] J. Chang, X. Yang, Z. Zhang, S. Zheng, and B. Cui, "Multi-objective optimal source–load interaction scheduling of combined heat and power microgrid considering stable supply and demand," *Front. Energy Res.*, vol. 10, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2022.901529.

[23] L. Ge, Y. Fan, J. Lai, Y. Sun, and Y. Zhang, "Artificial intelligence-enabled microgrid optimization technology for low carbon economy," *Gaodianya Jishu/High Voltage Eng.*, vol. 49, no. 6, 2023, doi: 10.13336/j.1003-6520.hve.20230414.